

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINTED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: O. Box 1450 NRT POR PATENTS Alexandris, Virgolia 22313-1450 www.nepis.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,985	05/08/2001		Volker Becker	10191/1690	2674
26646	7590	11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY				ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L	
NEW YORK, NY 100		04		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1763	

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s) BECKER ET AL.		
09/762,985			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Luz L. Aleiandro	1763		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (1702) in compliance than a compliance	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE 200.	P
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office actification (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, ever timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ion; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
<ul><li>(a)</li></ul>	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	, the
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendr canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 42-73.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>31-41</u> .	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
Luz L. Atejandro Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

**Advisory Action** 

Part of Paper No. 1003

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/762,985

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment to the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation to combine the references is clearly laid out in the final rejection mailed 8/21/03..